arXiv:1201.2783v2 [math.NT] 27 Jan 2012

ON THE DEGREE FIVE L-FUNCTION FOR GSp(4)

DANIEL FILE

Abstract. I give a new integral representation for the degree five (standard) L-function for automorphic representations of GSp(4) that is a refinement of integral representation of Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis. The new integral representation unfolds to produce the Bessel model for GSp(4) which is a unique model. The local unramified calculation uses an explicit formula for the Bessel model and differs completely from Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis.

1. Introduction

In 1978 Andrianov and Kalinin established an integral representation for the degree $2n+1$ standard L-function of a Siegel modular form of genus n [1]. Their integral involves a theta function and a Siegel Eisenstein series. The integral representation allowed them to prove the meromorphic continuation of the L-function, and in the case when the Siegel modular form has level 1 they established a functional equation and determined the locations of possible poles.

Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis became interested in the construction of Andrianov and Kalinin because it seems to produce Euler products without using any uniqueness property. Previous examples of integral representations used either a unique model such as the Whittaker model, or the uniqueness of the invariant bilinear form between an irreducible representation and its contragradient. It is known that an automorphic representation of Sp_4 (or GSp_4) associated to a Siegel modular form does not have a Whittaker model. Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis adapted the integral representation of Andrianov and Kalinin to the setting of automorphic representations and were able to obtain Euler products [23]; however, the factorization is not the result of a unique model that would explain the local-global structure of Andrianov and Kalinin. They considered the integral

$$\int\limits_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)\backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})} \phi(g)\theta_T(g)E(s,g)\,dg$$

where $E(s,g)$ is an Eisenstein series induced from a character of the Siegel parabolic subgroup, ϕ is a cuspidal automorphic form, T is a n-by-n symmetric matrix determining an n dimensional orthogonal space, and $\theta_T(g)$ is the theta kernel for the dual reductive pair $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \times \mathrm{O}(V_T)$.

Upon unfolding their integral produces the expansion of ϕ along the abelian unipotent radical N of the Siegel parabolic subgroup. They refer to the terms in this expansion as Fourier coefficients in analogy with the Siegel modular case. The Fourier coefficients are defined as

$$\phi_T(g) = \int\limits_{N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})} \phi(ng)\,\psi_T(n)\,dn.$$

Key words and phrases. L-function, integral representation, Bessel models.

This work was done while I was a gruaduate student at Ohio State University as part of my Ph.D. dissertation. I wish to thank my advisor Jim Cogdell for being a patient teacher and for his helpful discussions about this work. I also thank Ramin Takloo-Bighash for many useful conversations.

Here, T is associated to a character ψ_T of $N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})$. These functions ϕ_T do not give a unique model for the automorphic representation to which ϕ belongs. The corresponding statement for a finite place v of F is that for a character ψ_v of $N(F_v)$ the inequality

$$dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{Hom}_{N(F_v)}(\pi_v, \psi_v) \leq 1$$

does not hold for all irreducible admissible representation π_v of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F_v)$.

However, Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis show that their local integral is independent of the choice of Fourier coefficient when v is a finite place and the local representation π_v is spherical. Specifically, they show that for any $\ell_T \in \mathrm{Hom}_{N(F_v)}(\pi_v, \psi_v)$ the integral

$$\int\limits_{Mat_n(\mathcal{O}_v)\cap \mathrm{GL}_n(F_v)} \ell_T\left(\begin{bmatrix} g & \\ & {}^tg^{-1}\end{bmatrix} v_0\right) |\det(g)|_v^{s-1/2}\, dg = d_v(s)L(\pi_v, \frac{2s+1}{2})\ell_T(v_0)$$

where v_0 is the spherical vector for π_v, $\mathcal{O}_v$ is the ring of integers, and $d_v(s)$ is a product of local ζ-factors. At the remaining "bad" places the integral does not factor, and there is no local integral to compute. However, they showed that the integral over the remaining places is a meromorphic function of s.

In this paper I present a new integral representation for the degree five L-function for GSp_4 which is a refinement of the work of Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis. Instead of working with the full theta kernel, the construction in this paper uses a theta integral for $\mathrm{GSp}_4 \times \mathrm{GSO}_2$. This difference has the striking effect of producing the Bessel model for GSp_4 and the uniqueness that Piatetski-Shapior and Rallis expected. Therefore, this integral factors as an Euler product over all places. I compute the local unramified integral when the local representation is spherical using the formula due to Sugano [32].

In some instances an integral representation of an L-function can be used to prove algebraicity of special values of that L-function (up to certain expected transcendental factors). Harris [10], Sturm [33], Bocherer [3], and Panchishkin [21] applied the integral representation of Andrianov and Kalinin to prove algebraicity of special values of the standard L-function of certain Siegel modular forms. Shimura [30] also used an integral representation to prove algebraicity of these special values for many Siegel modular forms including forms for every congruence subgroup of Sp_{2n} over a totally real number field.

Furusawa [7] gave an integral representation for the degree eight L-function for $\mathrm{GSp}_4 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$. Furusawa's integral representation unfolds to give the Bessel model for GSp_4 times the Whittaker model for GL_2, and he uses Sugano's formula for the spherical Bessel model to compute the unramified local integral. Let Φ be a genus 2 Siegel eigen cusp form of weight ℓ, and let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be the automorphic representation for GSp_4 associated to it. Let Ψ be an elliptic (genus 1) eigen cusp form of weight ℓ, and let $\tau = \otimes_v \tau_v$ be the associated representation for GL_2. As an application of his integral representation Furusawa proved an algebraicity result for special values of the degree eight L-function $L(s, \Phi \times \Psi)$ provided that for all finite places v both π_v and τ_v are spherical. This condition is satisfied when Φ and Ψ are modular forms for the full modular groups $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, respectively.

A recent result of Saha [28] includes the explicit computation of Bessel functions of local representations that are Steinberg. This allowed Saha to extend the special value result of Furusawa to the case when π_p is Steinberg at some prime p. Pitale and Schmidt [24] considered the local integral of Furusawa for a large class of representations τ_p and as an application extended the algebraicity result of Furusawa further.

In principle one could explicitly compute the local integral given in this paper using the formula of Saha at a place where the local representation is Steinberg.

Considering the algebraicity results of Harris [10], Sturm [33], Bocherer [3], and Panchishkin [21] that involve the integral of Andrianov and Kalinin, and the explicit computations for Bessel models due to Sugano [32], Furusawa [7], and Saha [28], it would be interesting to see if the integral representation of this paper can be used to obtain any new algebraicity results. This is a question I intend to address in a later work.

2. Summary of Results

Let π be an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$, $\phi \in V_\pi$, ν an automorphic character on $\mathrm{GSO}_2(\mathbb{A})$ the similitude orthogonal group that preserves the symmetric form determined by the symmetric matrix T, $\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})$ the theta lift of ν^{-1} to GSp_4 with respect to a Schwartz-Bruhat function φ, and $E(s,f,g)$ a Siegel Eisenstein series for a section $f(s,-) \in \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(\delta_P^{1/3(s-1/2)})$. Consider the global integral

$$I(s;f,\phi,T,\nu,\varphi) = I(s) := \int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}} GSp_4(F)\backslash GSp_4(\mathbb{A})} E(s,f,g)\phi(g)\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})(g)\,dg.$$

Section 9 contains the proof that $I(s)$ has an Euler product expansion

$$I(s) = \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A}_\infty)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)} f(s,g)\phi^{T,\nu}(g)\omega(g,1)\varphi(1_2)\,dg \cdot \prod_{v<\infty} I_v(s)$$

where integrals $I_v(s)$ are defined to be

$$I_v(s) = \int\limits_{N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)} f_v(s,g_v)\,\phi_v^{T,\nu}(g_v)\,\omega_v(g_v,1)\varphi_v(1_2)\,dg_v.$$

The function $\phi_v^{T,\nu}$ belongs to the Bessel model of π_v.

Section 11 includes the proof that under certain conditions that hold for all but a finite number of places v, there is a normalization $I_v^*(s) = \zeta_v(s+1)\zeta_v(2s)\,I_v(s)$ such that

$$I_v^*(s) = L(s,\pi_v \otimes \chi_T)$$

where χ_T is a quadratic character associated to the matrix T. Section 14 deals with the finite places that are not covered in Section 11. For these places there is a choice of data so that $I_v(s) = 1$. Section 15 deals with the archimedean places and shows that there choice of data to control the analytic properties of $I_v(s)$.

Combining these analyses give the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$, and $\phi \in V_\pi$. Let T and ν be such that $\phi^{T,\nu} \neq 0$. There exists a choice of section $f(s,-) \in Ind_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(\delta_P^{1/3(s-1/2)})$, and some $\varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}))$ such that the normalized integral*

$$I^*(s;f,\phi,T,\nu,\varphi) = d(s)\cdot L^S(s,\pi\otimes\chi_{T,v})$$

where S is a finite set of bad places including all the archimedean places. Furthermore, for any complex number s_0, there is a choice of data so that $d(s)$ is holomorphic at s_0, and $d(s_0) \neq 0$.

3. Notation

Let F be a number field, and let $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ be its ring of adeles. For a place v of F denote by F_v the completion of F at v. For a non-archimedean place v let $\mathcal{O}_v$ be the ring of integers of F_v, and let $\mathfrak{p}_v$ be its maximal ideal. Let $q_v = [\mathcal{O}_v : \mathfrak{p}_v]$. Let ϖ_v be a choice of uniformizer for $\mathfrak{p}_v$, and let $|\cdot|_v$ be the absolute value on F_v, normalized so that $|\varpi_v|_v = q_v^{-1}$.

For a finite set of places S, let $\mathbb{A}^S = \prod_{v \notin S}{}' F_v$, and $\mathbb{A}_S = \prod_{v \in S} F_v$. In particular, $\mathbb{A}_\infty = \prod_{v|\infty} F_v$, and $\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}} = \prod_{v<\infty}{}' F_v$.

Denote by Mat_n the variety of $n \times n$ matrices defined over F. Sym_n is the variety of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices defined over F.

Let $G = \text{GSp}_4 = \{g \in GL_4 \big| \, {}^t g J g = \lambda_G(g) J\}$ where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Fix a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $K = \prod_v K_v$ where K_v is a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F_v)$, and at all but finitely many finite places $K_v = G(\mathcal{O}_v)$. According to [18, I.1.4] the subgroups K_v can be chosen so that for every standard parabolic subgroup P, $G(\mathbb{A}) = P(\mathbb{A})K$, and $M(\mathbb{A}) \cap K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $M(\mathbb{A})$.

4. Orthogonal Similitude Groups

A matrix $T \in \text{Sym}_2(F)$ with $\det(T) \neq 0$ determines a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )_T$ on an $V_T = F^2$:

$$(v_1, v_2)_T := {}^t v_1 T v_2.$$

The orthogonal group associated to this form (and matrix T) is

$$O(V_T) = \{h \in GL_2 \big| {}^t h T h = T\}.$$

Similarly, the similitude group $GO(V_T) = \{h \in GL_n \big| {}^t h T h = \lambda_T(h) T\}$, and $GSO(V_T)$ is defined to be the Zariski connected component of $GO(V_T)$. Note that since $dim(V_T) = 2$, and $h \in GSO(V_T)$, then $\lambda(h) = \det(h)$.

Let χ_T be the quadratic character associated to V_T. If E/F is the discriminant field of V_T, i.e. $E = F\left(\sqrt{-\det(T)}\right)$, then

$$\chi_T : F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times \to \mathbb{C}$$

is the idele class character associated to E by class field theory. It has the property that $\chi_T = \otimes \chi_{T,v}$ where $\chi_{T,v}(a) = (a, -\det(T))_v$, and $(\ ,\ )_v$ denotes the local Hilbert symbol [31, § 0.3]. Consequently, each $\chi_{T.v} \circ N_{E_v/F_v} \equiv 1$ where N_{E_v/F_v} is the norm map [29, Chapter III, Proposition 1]. Note that $N_{E_v/F_v} = \det = \lambda_T$.

4.1. The Siegel Parabolic Subgroup.

Let $P = MN$ be the Siegel parabolic subgroup of G, i.e. P stabilizes a maximal isotropic subspace $X = \text{span}_F\{e_1, e_2\}$ where e_i is the ith standard basis vector. Then P has Levi factor $M \cong \text{GL}_1 \times \text{GL}_2$ and unipotent radical $N \cong \text{Sym}_2 \cong \mathbb{G}_a^3$. For $g \in GL_2$, define

$$m(g) = \begin{bmatrix} g & \\ & {}^t g^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in M.$$

For $X \in \text{Sym}_2$, define

$$n(X) = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & X \\ & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \in N.$$

Let δ_P be the modular character of P.

For $m = \begin{bmatrix} g & \\ & {}^t g^{-1} \lambda \end{bmatrix} \in M$ and $n \in N$, $\delta_P(mn) = |\det(g)^3 \cdot \lambda^{-3}|_{\mathbb{A}}$. It is possible to extend δ_P to all of G. For $g = nmk$ where $n \in N$, $m \in M$, and $k \in K$, define $\delta_P(g) = \delta_P(m)$. This is well defined because $\delta_P(m) = 1$ for $m \in M \cap K$.

5. Bessel Models and Coefficients

5.1. **The Bessel Subgroup.** Let ψ be an additive character of $F\backslash\mathbb{A}$. There is a bijection between $\mathrm{Sym}_2(F)$ and the characters of $N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})$. For $T \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(F)$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_T &: N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C} \\ \psi_T(n(X)) &= \psi(tr(TX)). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Since $M(F)$ acts on $N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})$, it also acts on its characters. Define H_T to be the connected component of the stabilizer of ψ_T in M. For $g \in GL_2$, define

$$b(g) = \begin{bmatrix} g & \\ & det(g) \cdot {}^t g^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$H_T = \left\{ b(g) \middle| {}^t g T g = det(g) \cdot T \right\}.$$

Then H_T is an algebraic group defined over F isomorphic to $GSO(V_T)$ where V_T is defined as above.

The adjoint action of $M(F)$ on the characters of $N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})$ has two types of orbits. They are represented by matrices

$$T_\rho = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & -\rho \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with}\, \rho \notin F^{\times,2}, \text{ and } \quad T_{\text{split}} = \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The quadratic spaces corresponding to these matrices have similitude orthogonal groups

$$GSO(V_{T_\rho}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & \rho y \\ y & x \end{bmatrix} \middle| x^2 - \rho y^2 \neq 0 \right\}.$$

and

$$GSO(V_{T_{\text{split}}}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & \\ & y \end{bmatrix} \middle| xy \neq 0 \right\}. \tag{2}$$

For the rest of this article assume that $\rho \notin F^{\times,2}$, and only consider $T = T_\rho$. Define the Bessel subgroup $R = R_T = H_T N$. Consider a character

$$\nu : H_T(F)\backslash H_T(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

Then define

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu \otimes \psi_T : R(F)\backslash R(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C} \\ &\nu \otimes \psi_T(tn) = \nu(t)\psi_T(n) \qquad\qquad t \in H_T(\mathbb{A}),\ n \in N(\mathbb{A}). \end{aligned}$$

This is well defined since H_T normalizes ψ_T.

Similarly, for a place v of F there are local characters

$$\nu_v \otimes \psi_{T,v} : R(F_v) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

5.2. **Non-Archimedean Local Bessel Models.** Let v be a finite place of F. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the space of locally constant functions $\phi : G(F_v) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\phi(rg) = \nu_v \otimes \psi_{T,v}(r)\phi(g)$$

for all $r \in R(F_v)$ and all $g \in G(F_v)$.

Let π_v be an irreducible admissable representation of $G(F_v)$. Piatetski-Shapiro and Novodvorsky [20] showed that there is at most one subspace $\mathcal{B}(\pi_v) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that the right regular representation of $G(F_v)$ on $\mathcal{B}(\pi_v)$ is equivalent to π_v. If the subspace $\mathcal{B}(\pi_v)$ exists, then it is called the $\nu_v \otimes \psi_{T,v}$ Bessel model of π_v.

5.3. **Archimedean Local Bessel Models.** Now suppose v is an infinite place of F. Let K_v be the maximal compact subgroup of $G(F_v)$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the vector space of functions $\phi : G(F_v) \to \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties [24]:

1. ϕ is smooth and K_v-finite.
2. $\phi(rg) = \nu_v \otimes \psi_{T,v}(r)\phi(g)$ for all $r \in R(F_v)$ and all $g \in G(F_v)$.
3. ϕ is slowly increasing on $Z(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)$.

Let π_v be a $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{v}}, K_v)$-module with space V_{π_v}. Suppose that there is a subspace $\mathcal{B}(\pi_v) \subset \mathcal{B}$, invariant under right translation by $\mathfrak{g}_v$ and K_v, and is isomorphic as a $(\mathfrak{g}_v, K_v)$-module to π_v, then $\mathcal{B}(\pi_v)$ is called the $\nu_v \otimes \psi_{T,v}$ Bessel model of π_v. In some instances the Bessel model at an archimedean place is known to be unique. For example, when v is a real place and π_v is a lowest or highest weight representation of $GSp_4(\mathbb{R})$ the Bessel model of π_v is unique [24]. It is also known to be unique when the central character of π_v is trivial [20]. The results of this article do not depend on the uniqueness of the Bessel model at any archimedean place; however, if the model is not unique, then there is no local integral.

5.4. **Bessel Coefficients.** Let $\mathcal{A}_0(G)$ be the space of cuspidal automorphic forms on $G(\mathbb{A})$. Suppose that π is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ with space $V_\pi \subset \mathcal{A}_0(G)$. Let ω_π denote the central character of π. Let $\phi \in V_\pi$.

Suppose that ν is as above. Denote by $Z_{\mathbb{A}}$ the center of $G(\mathbb{A})$ so $Z_{\mathbb{A}} \subset H_T(\mathbb{A})$. Suppose that $\nu_{|Z_{\mathbb{A}}} = \omega_\pi^{-1}$. Define the $\nu \otimes \psi_T$ Bessel coefficient of ϕ to be

$$\phi^{T,\nu}(g) = \int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}R(F)\backslash R(\mathbb{A})} (\nu \otimes \psi_T)^{-1}(r)\phi(rg)dr. \tag{3}$$

6. Siegel Eisenstein Series

For more details about Siegel Eisenstein series of Sp_{2n} see Kudla and Rallis [15] and Section 1.1 of Kudla, Rallis, and Soudry [16].

Definition 6.1 (Induced Representation)**.** *The induced representation of* $\delta_P^{\frac{1}{3}(s-\frac{1}{2})}$ *to* $G(\mathbb{A})$ *is defined to be*

$$Ind_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(\delta_P^{\frac{1}{3}(s-\frac{1}{2})}) = \left\{ f : G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} f \textit{ is smooth, right } K\textit{-finite, and for} \\ p \in P(\mathbb{A}),\ f(pg) = \delta_P^{\frac{1}{3}(s+1)}(p)f(g) \end{array} \right\}.$$

For convenience write $\mathrm{Ind}(s) = \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(\delta_P^{\frac{1}{3}(s-\frac{1}{2})})$. $\mathrm{Ind}(s)$ is a representation of $(\mathfrak{g}_\infty, K_\infty) \times G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fin}})$ under right translation. A standard section $f(s,\cdot)$ is one such that its restriction to K is independent of s. Let $f(s,\cdot) \in \mathrm{Ind}(s)$ be a holomorphic standard section. That is for all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ the function $s \mapsto f(s,g)$ is a holomorphic function.

For a finite place v define $f_v^\circ(s,\cdot)$ to be the function so that $f_v^\circ(s,k) = 1$ for $k \in K_v$.

There is an intertwining operator

$$M(s) : \mathrm{Ind}(s) \to \mathrm{Ind}(1-s).$$

For $Re(s) > 2$, $M(s)$ may be defined by means of the integral [14, 4.1]

$$M(s)f(s,g) := \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})} f(s,wng)\,dn$$

where

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The induced representation factors as a restricted tensor product with respect to $f_v^\circ(s, \cdot)$:

$$\mathrm{Ind}(s) = {\bigotimes_v}' \mathrm{Ind}_v(s),$$

and so does the intertwining operator

$$M(s) = \bigotimes_v M_v(s).$$

There is a normalization of $M_v(s)$

$$M_v^*(s) = \frac{\zeta_v(s+1)\,\zeta_v(2s)}{\zeta_v(s-1)\,\zeta_v(2s-1)} M_v(s)$$

where $\zeta_v(\cdot)$ is the local zeta factor for F at v, so that

$$M_v^*(s) f_v^\circ(s,g) = f_v^\circ(1-s,g).$$

Define the Siegel Eisenstein series

$$E(s,f,g) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(F)\backslash G(F)} f(s,\gamma g)$$

which converges uniformly for $Re(s) > 2$ and has meromorphic continuation to all $\mathbb{C}$ [15]. Furthermore, the Eisenstein series satisfies the functional equation

$$E(s,f,g) = E(1-s, M(s)f, g)$$

[15, 1.5]. Later, it will be useful to work with the normalized Eisenstein series. Let S be a finite set of places, including the archimedean places, such that for $v \notin S$ $f_v = f_v^\circ$. Define

$$E^*(s,f,g) = \zeta^S(s+1)\,\zeta^S(2s) E(s,f,g). \tag{4}$$

Kudla and Rallis completely determined the locations of possible poles of Siegel Eisenstein series [15]. The normalized Eisenstein series $E^*(s,f,g)$ has at most simple poles at $s_0 = 1, 2$ [15, Theorem 1.1].

7. The Weil Representation

7.1. **The Schrödinger Model.** Consider the orthogonal space V_T with symmetric form $(\ ,\ )_T$, and the four dimensional symplectic space W with symplectic form $<\ ,\ >$. Let $\mathbb{W} = V_T \otimes W$ be the symplectic space with form $\ll\ ,\ \gg$ defined on pure tensors by $\ll u \otimes v, u' \otimes v' \gg = (u,u')_T < v, v' >$ and extended to all of $\mathbb{W}$ by linearity. The Weil representation $\omega = \omega_{\psi_T^{-1}}$ is a representation of $\widetilde{Sp}(\mathbb{W})$. However, restricting this representation to $\widetilde{Sp}(W) \times O(V_T) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{Sp}(\mathbb{W})$. Since the dimension of V_T is even, there is a splitting $Sp(W) \times O(V_T) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{Sp}(W) \times O(V_T)$ [26, Remark 2.1].

Suppose that X is a maximal isotropic subspace of W. Then $\mathbb{X} = X \otimes_F V_T$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathbb{W}$. The space of the Schrödinger model, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X})$, is the space of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on $\mathbb{X}$. Let v be a place of F. If v is a finite place, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}(F_v))$ is the space of locally constant functions with compact support. If v is an infinite place, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}(F_v))$ is the space of C^∞ functions all derivatives of which are rapidly decreasing.

Identify $\mathbb{X}$ with $V_T^2 = \mathrm{Mat}_2$.

The local Weil representation at a finite place v restricted to

$$Sp(W)(F_v) \times O(V_T)(F_v)$$

acts in the following way on the Schrödinger model

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_v(1,h)\varphi(x) &= \varphi(h^{-1}x),\\ \omega_v(m(a),1)\varphi(x) &= \chi_{T,v} \circ det(a)\ |\det(a)|_v\ \varphi(xa),\\ \omega_v(n(X),1)\varphi(x) &= \psi^{-1}_{{}^txTx}(X)\varphi(x),\\ \omega_v(w,1)\varphi(x) &= \gamma \cdot \hat{\varphi}(x).\end{aligned}$$

where γ is a certain eighth root of unity, and $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of φ defined by

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = \int\limits_{V_T(F_v)^2} \varphi(x')\psi((x,x')_1)dx'.$$

Here $(\ ,\ )_1$ is defined as follows: for $x, y \in \mathbb{X} = \mathrm{Mat}_2$ define

$$(x,y)_1 := tr(x \cdot y).$$

Note that matrices of the form $m(a)$, $n(X)$, and w generate Sp_4.

The space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}))$ is spanned by functions $\varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v$ where $\varphi_v = \varphi_v^\circ$ is the normalized local spherical function for all but finitely many of the finite places v. At an unramified place $\varphi_v^\circ = 1_{\mathbb{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)}$. The global Weil representation, $\omega = \otimes_v{}'\omega_v$, is the restricted tensor product with respect to the normalized spherical functions φ_v°.

Suppose that $F_v = \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $\psi_T = \exp(2\pi i x)$. Let $K_{1,v} = \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R}) \cap O_4(\mathbb{R})$. Let V_T^+ and V_T^- be positive definite and negative definite, respectively, subspaces of $V_T(F_v)$ such that $V_T(F_v) = V_T^+ \oplus V_T^-$. For $x \in V_T$ define

$$(x,x)_+ = \begin{cases} (x,x) & \text{if } x \in V_T^+ \\ -(x,x) & \text{if } x \in V_T^- \end{cases}$$

For $x \in V_T^2$ let $(x,x) = ((x_i,x_j)_{i,j}) \in V_T^2$. Define

$$\varphi_v^\circ(x) = \exp(-\pi\, \mathrm{tr}\,((x,x)_+)).$$

Now, suppose $F_v = \mathbb{C}$. Assume that $\psi_T = \exp(4\pi i(x+\bar{x}))$. In this case $K_{1,v} \cong \mathrm{Sp}(4)$, the compact real form of $\mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbb{C})$. There is a choice of basis so

$$(x,x)_+ = {}^t\bar{x}x,$$

and

$$\varphi_v^\circ(x) = \exp(-2\pi\, \mathrm{tr}\,((x,x)_+)).$$

The subspace of $K_{1,v}$ finite vectors in the space of smooth vectors, $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{X}(F_v)) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}(F_v))$, consists of functions of the form $p(x)\varphi_v^\circ$ where p is a polynomial on $V_T(F_v)^2$.

7.2. **Extension to Similitude Groups.** Harris and Kudla describe how to extend the Weil representation to similitude groups [11, §3]. See also [12] and [27].

The Weil representation can be extended to the group

$$Y = \{(g,h) \in GSp_4 \times GSO(V_T) \mid \lambda_G(g) = \lambda_T(h)\}.$$

For $(g,h) \in Y$ the action of ω_v is defined by

$$\omega_v(g,h)\varphi(x) = |\lambda_T(h)|_v^{-1}\ \omega_v(g_1,1)\varphi(h^{-1}x)$$

where

$$g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & \\ & \lambda_G(g)^{-1} \cdot I_2 \end{bmatrix} g.$$

Note that the natural projection to the first coordinate

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 : & Y \to GSp(4) \\ & (g, h) \mapsto g \end{aligned}$$

is generally not a surjective map. Indeed, $g \in Im(p_1)$ if and only if there is an $h \in GSO(V_T)$ such that $\lambda_G(g) = \lambda_T(h)$. Define

$$G^+ := p_1(Y).$$

7.3. **Theta Lifts.** Let $H = GSO(V_T)$, and $H_1 = SO(V_T)$.

Definition 7.1. *The theta lift of* ν^{-1} *to* $G^+(\mathbb{A})$ *is given by the integral*

$$\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})(g) = \int_{H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \sum_{x \in V_T^2(F)} \omega(g, h_g h_1)\varphi(x)\nu^{-1}(h_g h_1) dh_1.$$

Here, $h_g \in H(\mathbb{A})$ is any element so that $\lambda_T(h_g) = \lambda_G(g)$. Note that Definition 7.1 is independent of the choice h_g. Since $H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})$ is compact the integral is termwise absolutly convergent [34].

There is a natural inclusion

$$G(F)^+\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+ \hookrightarrow G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}).$$

Consider $\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})$ as a function of $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ by extending it by 0 [8, §7.2].

If φ is chosen to be a K-finite Schwartz-Bruhat function, then $\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})$ is a K-finite automorphic form on $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ [11].

8. The Degree Five L-function

The connected component of the dual group of GSp_4 is ${}^LG^\circ = \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ [4, I.2.2 (5)]. The degree five L-function of GSp_4 corresponds to the map of L-groups [31, page 88]

$$\varrho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{PGSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{SO}_5(\mathbb{C}).$$

I describe the local L-factor explicitly when v is finite and π_v is equivalent to an unramified principal series. Consider the maximal torus A_0 of G and an element $t \in A_0$:

$$t = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_0 a_1^{-1}, a_0 a_2^{-1}) := \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & a_2 & & \\ & & a_0 a_1^{-1} & \\ & & & a_0 a_2^{-2} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

The character lattice of G is

$$X = \mathbb{Z}e_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2$$

where $e_i(t) = a_i$. The cocharacter lattice is

$$X^\vee = \mathbb{Z}f_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_2$$

where

$$f_0(u) = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, u, u), \qquad f_1(u) = \mathrm{diag}(u, 1, u^{-1}, 1),$$
$$f_2(u) = \mathrm{diag}(1, u, 1, u^{-1}).$$

Suppose

$$\pi_v \cong \pi_v(\chi) = Ind_{B(F_v)}^{G(F_v)}(\chi)$$

where

$$\chi(t) = \chi_1(a_1)\chi_2(a_2)\chi_0(a_0), \tag{6}$$

and t is given by (5). Then ${}^L G^\circ = \hat{G}$ has character lattice $X' = X^\vee$ and cocharacter lattice $X'^\vee = X$. Let $f_i' = e_i \in X'^\vee$. Define

$$\hat{t} = \prod_{i=0}^{3} f_i'(\chi_i(\varpi_v)) \in {}^L G^\circ. \tag{7}$$

Then $\hat{t}$ is the Satake parameter for $\pi_v(\chi)$ [2, Lemma 2]. The Langlands L-factor is defined in [4, II.7.2 (1)] to be

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \pi_v, \varrho) :&= \det(I - \varrho(\hat{t}) q_v^{-s})^{-1} \\ &= (1 - q_v^{-s})^{-1}(1 - \chi_1(\varpi_v) q_v^{-s})^{-1}(1 - \chi_1(\varpi_v)^{-1} q_v^{-s})^{-1} \\ &\quad (1 - \chi_2(\varpi_v) q_v^{-s})^{-1}(1 - \chi_2(\varpi)^{-1} q_v^{-s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let S be a finite set of primes, including the archimedean primes, such that if $v \notin S$, then π_v is unramified. Then the partial L-function is defined to be

$$L^S(s, \pi) = L^S(s, \pi, \varrho) = \prod_{v \notin S} L(s, \pi_v, \varrho).$$

The product converges absolutely for $Re(s) \gg 0$ [17].

9. Global Integral Representation

The main result of this section is Theorem 9.4 which states that the integral unfolds as an Euler product of local integrals.

As before $G =$GSp$_4$, $G_1 =$Sp$_4$, $P = MN$ is the Siegel parabolic subgoup of G, and let $P_1 = M_1 N = P \cap G_1$ where $M_1 = M \cap G_1$.

The global integral is

$$\begin{aligned} I(s; f, \phi, \nu) = I(s) :&= \int_{Z_{\mathbb{A}} G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} E(s, f, g) \phi(g) \theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})(g)\, dg && (8) \\ &= \int_{Z_{\mathbb{A}} G(F)^+ \backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+} E(s, f, g) \phi(g) \theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})(g)\, dg && (9) \end{aligned}$$

where equality holds because $\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})$ is supported on $G(F)^+ \backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+$. The central character of $E(s, f, -)$ is trivial, and the central character of $\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1}) = \omega_\pi^{-1}$, so the integrand is $Z_{\mathbb{A}}$ invariant. Since $E(s, f, -)$ and $\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})$ are automorphic forms, they are of moderate growth. Since ϕ is a cuspidal automorphic form, it is rapidly decreasing on a Siegel domain [18, I.2.18]. Therefore, the integral (9) converges everywhere that $E(s, f, -)$ does not have a pole.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}^{\times,+} &:= \lambda_T(H(\mathbb{A})), & F^{\times,+} &:= F^\times \cap \mathbb{A}^{\times,+} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{\times,+}, \\ \mathbb{A}^{\times,2} &:= \{a^2 \,|\, a \in \mathbb{A}^\times\}, & \mathcal{C} &:= \mathbb{A}^{\times,2} F^{\times,+} \backslash \mathbb{A}^{\times,+}. \end{aligned}$$

There is an isomorphism

$$Z_{\mathbb{A}} G_1(\mathbb{A}) G(F)^+ \backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+ \cong \mathcal{C}. \tag{10}$$

The isomorphism is realized by considering the map from $G(\mathbb{A})^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $g \mapsto \lambda_G(g)$. It has kernel $Z_{\mathbb{A}} G_1(\mathbb{A}) G(F)^+$. This fact is stated in [8].

Identify $Z_{\mathbb{A}}$ with the subgroup of scalar linear transformations in $H(\mathbb{A})$.

Proposition 9.1.

$$Z_{\mathbb{A}} H_1(\mathbb{A}) H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathcal{C}. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Consider the map $H(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathcal{C}$, $h \mapsto \lambda_T(h)$. This map is onto by definition of $\mathbb{A}^{\times,+}$. I must show that the kernel is $Z_{\mathbb{A}}H_1(\mathbb{A})H(F)$. Suppose $\lambda_T(h) = a^2\mu$ where $a \in \mathbb{A}^{\times,2}$ and $\mu \in F^{\times,+}$. By Hasse's norm theorem [13] there is an element $h_\mu \in H(F)$ such that $\lambda_T(h) = \mu$. Let $z(a)$ be the scalar matrix with eigenvalue a. Since $\lambda_T(z(a)^{-1}hh_\mu^{-1}) = 1$, $h_1 = z(a)^{-1}hh_\mu^{-1} \in H_1(\mathbb{A})$. Therefore, $h = z(a)h_1h_\mu$. This shows that $Z_{\mathbb{A}}H_1(\mathbb{A})H(F)$ contains the kernel of this map. The opposite inclusion is obvious. This proves the proposition. □

Fix sections

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{C} \to G(\mathbb{A})^+ &\qquad& \mathcal{C} \to H(\mathbb{A}) \\ &c \mapsto g_c && c \mapsto h_c \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 9.2. *There is a measure dc on $\mathcal{C}$ and measures dh_1 and dg_1 on $H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})$ and $G_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})$, respectively, such that*

$$\int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})} f(h)\,dh = \int\limits_{\mathcal{C}} \int\limits_{H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} f(h_1h_c)\,dh_1\,dc,$$

and

$$\int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}G(F)^+\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+} f(g)\,dg = \int\limits_{\mathcal{C}} \int\limits_{G_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(g_1g_c)\,dg_1\,dc.$$

Proof. Let dh denote the right invariant measure on $Z_{\mathbb{A}}H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})$. Then by [25, Lemma 13.2] there are measures dh_1 and dh_c so that for all $f \in L^1(Z_{\mathbb{A}}H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A}))$

$$\int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})} f(h)\,dh = \int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}H_1(\mathbb{A})H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \int\limits_{H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} f(h_1h_c)\,dh_1\,dh_c. \tag{12}$$

Through the isomorphism (11) define a measure $dc := dh_c$ on $\mathcal{C}$. By (10) define a measure $dg_c := dc$ on $Z_{\mathbb{A}}G_1(\mathbb{A})G(F)^+\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+$. Then there is a choice of measures dg and dg_1 so that for $f \in L^1(Z_{\mathbb{A}}G(F)^+\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+)$

$$\int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}G(F)^+\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+} f(g)\,dg = \int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}G_1(\mathbb{A})G(F)^+\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^+} \int\limits_{G_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(g_1g_c)\,dg_1\,dg_c. \tag{13}$$

□

Then (9) equals

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{C}} \int\limits_{G_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} E(s,f,g_1g_c)\phi(g_1g_c)\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})(g_1g_c)\,dg_1\,dc. \tag{14}$$

Denote the theta kernel by

$$\theta_\varphi(g_1g_c, h_1h_c) = \sum_{x \in V_T^2(F)} \omega(g_1g_c, h_1h_c)\varphi(x).$$

Then

$$\theta_\varphi(\nu^{-1})(g_1g_c) = \int\limits_{H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \theta_\varphi(g_1g_c, h_1h_c)\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)dh_1.$$

As noted in section 7.3 this integral converges absolutely. The following adjoint identity holds for the global theta integral

$$\int\limits_{G_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} \int\limits_{H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} E(s,f,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c)\,\theta_\varphi(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\,\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\,dh_1\,dg_1$$

$$= \int\limits_{H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \int\limits_{G_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} E(s,f,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c)\,\theta_\varphi(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\,\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\,dg_1\,dh_1.$$

Since $P_1(F)\backslash G_1(F) \cong P(F)\backslash G(F)$, then

$$E(s,f,g) = \sum_{\gamma\in P(F)\backslash G(F)} f(s,\gamma g) = \sum_{\gamma\in P_1(F)\backslash G_1(F)} f(s,\gamma g).$$

Then the inner integral of (15) becomes

$$\int\limits_{P_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c)\,\theta_\varphi(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\,\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\,dg_1.$$

Expanding the theta kernel gives

$$\int\limits_{G_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c) \sum_{x\in V_T^2(F)} \omega(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(x)\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\,dg_1 \tag{15}$$

The Levi factor of P_1 is $M_1 \cong \mathrm{GL}_2$. The Weil representation restricted to this subgroup acts on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$\omega(m(y),1)\,\varphi_1(x) = |\det(y)|_{\mathbb{A}}\varphi_1(xy)$$

for $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A})$, $y \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, and $x \in Mat_2(\mathbb{A})$. Consider $x \in Mat_2(F)$. If $\det(x) = 0$, then $Stab_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}(x)$ contains a normal unipotent subgroup. By the cuspidality of ϕ this term vanishes upon integration.

Therefore

$$\int\limits_{P_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c) \sum_{x\in Mat_2(F)} \omega(m(x)g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2)\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)dg_1$$

$$= \int\limits_{P_1(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c) \sum_{x\in \mathrm{GL}_2(F)} \omega(m(x)g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2)\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)dg_1$$

$$= \int\limits_{N(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c)\omega(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2)\,\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\,dg_1. \tag{16}$$

Note that the integral

$$\int\limits_{N(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c)\,\omega(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2)\,\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\,dg_1$$

is $H_1(F)$ invariant; however, the integrand is not.

Then

$$\int\limits_{N(F)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g_1g_c)\,\phi(g_1g_c)\omega(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2)\,dg_1$$

$$= \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} \int\limits_{N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g_1g_c)\phi(ng_1g_c)\omega(ng_1g_c,h_1h_c)\,\varphi(1_2)\,dn\,dg_1.$$

Define

$$\phi^T(g) := \int\limits_{N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})} \phi(ng)\,\psi_T^{-1}(n)\,dn.$$

Then

$$\int\limits_{N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})} \phi(ng)\omega(ng,h_gh)\,\varphi(1_2)\,dn = \phi^T(g)\,\omega(g,h_gh)\varphi(1_2).$$

This follows since for $n \in N(\mathbb{A})$

$$\omega(ng_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2) = \psi_T^{-1}(n)\,\omega(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2),$$

so the integral (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} I(s) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{C}} \int\limits_{H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} & f(s,g_1g_c)\phi^T(g_1g_c) \\ & \times \omega(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2)\,\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\,dh_1dg_1dg_c, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Computing in the Weil representation

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2) =& |\lambda_G(g_c)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}\,\omega\left(\ell\left(\lambda_G(g)^{-1}\right)g_1g_c,1\right)\varphi\left((h_1h_c)^{-1}\right) && (18)\\ =& \chi_V \circ \det(h_1h_c)\,|\lambda_G(g_c)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}\,|\det(h_1h_c)^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1} \\ & \times \omega\left(m(h_1h_c)^{-1}\ell\left(\lambda_G(g_c)\right)g_1g_c,1\right)\varphi(1_2). && (19) \end{aligned}$$

For $h \in H_T$, $\det(h) \in N_{E/F}(E^\times)$. Therefore, $\chi_V \circ \det(h) = 1$.

Combining this with the fact that

$$|\lambda_G(g_c)|_{\mathbb{A}} = |\det(h_1h_c)|_{\mathbb{A}}$$

(see Section 4) and applying it to (19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(g_1g_c,h_1h_c)\varphi(1_2) &= \omega\left(m(h_1h_c)^{-1}\ell\left(\lambda_G(g_c)^{-1}\right)g_1g_c,1\right)\varphi(1_2) \\ &= \omega\left(b(h_1h_c)^{-1}g_1g_c,1\right)\varphi(1_2). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Since $\lambda_G(b(h_1h_c)) = \lambda_G(g_1g_c)$, the map $g_1 \mapsto b(h_1h_c)g_1g_c^{-1}$ sends G_1 to itself.

Proposition 9.3. *Let $d\bar{g}$ be the right invariant measure on $N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})$, and $g \in P(\mathbb{A}) \subseteq G(\mathbb{A})$. Then $d(\overline{ghg^{-1}}) = |\delta_P(g)^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}} \cdot d\bar{g}$.*

Proof. Suppose dn is Haar measure on $N(\mathbb{A})$ and $d\bar{g}$ is the right invariant measure on $N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})$ normalized so that for $f \in L^1(G_1(\mathbb{A}))$

$$\int\limits_{G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(g)\,dg = \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})} f(n\bar{g})\,dn\,d\bar{g}$$

Let $g \in P(\mathbb{A})$. The transformation $h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$ preserves Haar measure on $G_1(\mathbb{A})$. Let E be a measurable subset of $G_1(\mathbb{A})$ such that with finite volume with respect to dg_1, and let $vol(E)$ denote this volume. Then the volume of $N(\mathbb{A})\backslash N(\mathbb{A})E$ is given by the formula

$$vol(N(\mathbb{A})\backslash N(\mathbb{A})E) = \frac{vol(E)}{\int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})\cap E} dn}.$$

Since $d(gng^{-1}) = |\delta_P(g)|_{\mathbb{A}} \cdot dn$, then $d(\overline{ghg^{-1}}) = |\delta_P(g)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1} \cdot d\bar{g}$. ☐

Since $\delta_P(b(h_1h_c)) = 1$, the map $g_1 \mapsto b(h_1h_c)g_1g_c^{-1}$ preserves the right invariant measure on $N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})$. Substituting (20) into (17), and making the above change of variables gives

$$I(s) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \int_{H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s, b(h_1h_c)g_1)\phi^T(b(h_1h_c)g_1) \times \omega(g_1,1)\varphi(1_2)\nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\, dg_1dh_1dg_c.$$

The $Z_{\mathbb{A}}H_1(\mathbb{A})H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})$ integral and the $H_1(F)\backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})$ fold together (H is abelian so $h_ch_1 = h_1h_c$) to produce

$$\int_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \int_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s, b(h)g_1)\, \phi^T(b(h)g_1)\, \omega(g_1,1)\varphi(1_2)\, \nu^{-1}(h_1h_c)\, dg_1dh. \tag{21}$$

Since $b(h) \in P(\mathbb{A})$, and $\delta_P\,(b(h)) = 1$, $f(s, b(h)g_1) = f(s, g_1)$. Therefore, changing the order of integration in (21) and applying Proposition 9.1 produces

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s, g_1)\, \omega(g_1,1)\varphi(1_2) \int_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}H_T(F)\backslash H_T(\mathbb{A})} \phi^T(hg_1)\, \nu^{-1}(h)\, dh\, dg_1 \tag{22}$$

$$= \int_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s, g_1)\phi^{T,\nu}(g_1)\, \omega(g_1,1)\varphi(1_2)\, dg_1. \tag{23}$$

The next section shows that (22) converges absolutely for $Re(s) > 2$, justifying the change in order of integration.

Proposition 9.4. *Let* $\phi^{T,\nu} = \otimes_v \phi_v^{T,\nu}$, $f(s,\cdot) = \otimes_v f_v(s,\cdot)$, *and* $\varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v$. *Then for* $Re(s) > 2$

$$\int_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})} E(s,f,g)\phi(g)\theta(\nu^{-1},\varphi)(g)\, dg \tag{24}$$

$$= \int_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g)\, \phi^{T,\nu}(g)\, \omega(g,1)\varphi(1_2)\, dg \tag{25}$$

$$= \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_\infty)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)} f(s,g_\infty)\, \phi^{T,\nu}(g_\infty)\, \omega(g_\infty,1)\varphi(1_2)\, dg_\infty \cdot \prod_{v<\infty} I_v(s) \tag{26}$$

where

$$I_v(s) = \int_{N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)} f_v(s,g_v)\, \phi_v^{T,\nu}(g_v)\, \omega_v(g_v,1)\varphi_v(1_2)\, dg_v. \tag{27}$$

The uniqueness of the Bessel model is used to obtain the factorization in (26). When the local archimedean Bessel models are unique, the integral factors at these places as in (27).

10. Absolute Convergence of the Unfolded Integral

Proposition 10.1. *The integral*

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}H_T(F)\backslash H_T(\mathbb{A})} f(s,g)\, \phi^T(hg)\, \nu^{-1}(h)\, \omega(g,1)\varphi(1_2)\, dh\, dg$$

converges absolutely for $Re(s) > 2$.

Proof. This argument follows [19] to show that ϕ is bounded on $G_1(\mathbb{A})$. By [18, Corollary I.2.12, I.2.18], ϕ is rapidly decreasing. To be precise, suppose $\mathfrak{S}$ is a Siegel domain for $G(\mathbb{A})$. Let $G(\mathbb{A})^1 := \cap_\chi \ker |\chi|_{\mathbb{A}}$ where χ range over rational characters of G. Then

$$G(\mathbb{A})^1 = \{g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \Big| |\lambda_G(g)|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1\}.$$

Therefore, $G_1(\mathbb{A}) \subset G(\mathbb{A})^1$.

Definition 10.2 (A rapidly decreasing function on $G(\mathbb{A})$ [18, I.2.12])**.** *A function $\phi : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathbb{C}$ is rapidly decreasing if there exists an $r > 0$ such that for all real positive valued characters λ of the standard maximal torus A_0, there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $z \in Z_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $g \in G(\mathbb{A})^1 \cap \mathfrak{S}$ the follwing inequality holds*

$$|\phi(zg)| \leq C_0 ||z||^r \lambda(a(g)) \tag{28}$$

where $|| \cdot ||$ is the height function on $G(\mathbb{A})$, and $a(g)$ is defined so that if $g = nak$, then $a(g) = a$ where $n \in N_0$, the unipotent radical of the Borel, $a \in A_0$, and $k \in K$.

By choosing $z = 1$, and λ to be the adelic norm of the similitude character in (28), then the right hand side of the inequality equals C_0 for $g \in \mathfrak{S} \cap G_1(\mathbb{A})$. Therefore, ϕ is bounded on $\mathfrak{S} \cap G_1(\mathbb{A})$. However, ϕ is $G(F)$ invariant, so ϕ is bounded on

$$G(F)(\mathfrak{S} \cap G_1(\mathbb{A})) \supseteq G_1(\mathbb{A}).$$

The quotients $Z_{\mathbb{A}} H_T(F)\backslash H_T(\mathbb{A})$ and $N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})$ are compact. Therefore,

$$|\nu(r)| = |\psi_T(n)| = 1 \tag{29}$$

for all $r \in H_T(\mathbb{A}) \cap G_1(\mathbb{A})$, and all $n \in N(\mathbb{A})$. Assume that all representatives $r \in Z_{\mathbb{A}} H_T(F)\backslash H_T(\mathbb{A})$ are chosen so that $r \in G_1(\mathbb{A})$. Then $rg_1 \in G_1(\mathbb{A})$ and

$$|\phi(rg_1)| \, |\nu^{-1}(r)| < C_0. \tag{30}$$

Furthermore, since $\nu_{|Z_{\mathbb{A}}}$ agrees with the central character of ϕ, (30) holds for all $r \in H_T(\mathbb{A})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}} H_T(F)\backslash H_T(\mathbb{A})} |\phi^T(hg)| \, |\nu^{-1}(h)| \, dh &= \int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}} R(F)\backslash R(\mathbb{A})} |(\nu \otimes \psi_T)^{-1}(r)| \, |\phi(rg_1)| \, dr \\ &\leq \mathrm{vol}\,(Z_{\mathbb{A}} R(F)\backslash R(\mathbb{A})) \cdot C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} \int\limits_{Z_{\mathbb{A}} H_T(F)\backslash H_T(\mathbb{A})} & |f(s,g)| \, |\phi^T(hg)| \, |\nu^{-1}(h)| \, |\omega(g,1)\varphi(1_2)| \, dh \, dg \\ & \leq C \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} |f(s,g)| \, |\omega(g,1)\varphi(1_2)| \, dg. \end{aligned}$$

The Schwartz-Bruhat function φ is K-finite, as is $f(s,-)$, so there is some open subgroup $K_0 \leq K$ such that $[K : K_0] = n < \infty$, and φ and $f(s,-)$ are K_0-invariant. Let $\{k_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a set of irredundant coset representatives for K/K_0. We have

$$G_1(\mathbb{A}) = P_1(\mathbb{A})K$$

Suppose that $p = m(a)n \in P_1(\mathbb{A})$, and $k \in k_i K_0$. Define $\varphi_i := \omega(k_i, 1)\varphi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(pk,1)\varphi(1_2) &= \omega(p,1)\omega(k,1)\varphi(1_2) \\ &= \omega(p)\varphi_i(1_2) \\ &= \psi_T(n) \, \chi_V \circ \det(a) \, | \det(a)|_{\mathbb{A}} \, \varphi_i(a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A})} |f(s,g)|\,|\phi^{T,\nu}(g)|\,|\omega(g,1)\varphi(1_2)|\,dg\\ \leq &\int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A})\backslash P_1(\mathbb{A})}\int\limits_{K} |\delta_P(p)^{-1}|\,|\delta_P(p)^{s/3+1/3}||f(s,k)|\,|\omega(pk,1)\varphi(1_2)|\,dp\,dk\\ \leq &\mathrm{vol}(K_0)\times\sum_{i=1}^{n}|f(s,k_i)|\int\limits_{GL_2(\mathbb{A})}|\varphi_i(a)|\,|\det(a)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-1}\,da.\end{aligned}$$

Absolute convergence of (10.1) depends only on the convergence of

$$\int\limits_{GL_2(\mathbb{A})}|\varphi_i(a)|\,|\det(a)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-1}\,da.$$

The Schwartz-Bruhat function $\varphi_i = \otimes\varphi_{i,v}$ is rapidly decreasing, i.e. $\varphi_{i,v}$ is compactly supported at each finite places v, and φ_i are rapidly decreasing when v is archimedean. Let Q be the Borel subgroup of GL_2, and let $L = \prod_v L_v$, where L_v is the maximal compact subgroup of $GL_2(F_v)$ so that $\mathrm{GL}_2 = QL$. There is a compact finite index open subgroup $L_i \leq L$ such that φ_i is L_i invariant. Let φ_{ij}, $j = 1,\dots,m$, be the L translates of φ_i. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{GL_2(\mathbb{A})}|\varphi_i(a)||\det(a)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-1}\,da\\ &=\mathrm{vol}(L_i)\times\sum_{j=1}^{m}\int\limits_{Q(\mathbb{A})}|\varphi_{ij}(b)|\,|\det(b)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-1}\,db.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int\limits_{Q(\mathbb{A})}|\varphi_{ij}(b)||\det(b)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-1}db \tag{31}$$

$$=\int\limits_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int\limits_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int\limits_{\mathbb{A}}\left|\varphi_i\begin{pmatrix}a_1 & x\\ & a_2\end{pmatrix}\right|\,|a_1|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-1}|a_2|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-1}\,\left|\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}\,dx\,\frac{da_1}{|a_1|_{\mathbb{A}}}\,\frac{da_2}{|a_2|_{\mathbb{A}}} \tag{32}$$

$$=\int\limits_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int\limits_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int\limits_{\mathbb{A}}\left|\varphi_i\begin{pmatrix}a_1 & x\\ & a_2\end{pmatrix}\right|\,|a_1|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-3}|a_2|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-1}\,dx\,da_1\,da_2. \tag{33}$$

Since ϕ_i decreases rapidly as $|a_1|_{\mathbb{A}}$, $|a_2|_{\mathbb{A}}$, and $|x|_{\mathbb{A}}$ become large, the integral (33) converges for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 2$. ☐

Corollary 10.3. *There exists a real number C so that for every $g_1 \in G_1(\mathbb{A})$,*

$$|\phi^{T,\nu}(g_1)| \leq C. \tag{34}$$

Remark 1. Absolute convergence of the integral right of the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 2$ is the best one could hope for since the Eisenstein series $E(s,f,-)$ has a possible pole at $s = 2$ by Section 6, and [15, Theorem 1.1].

11. Computation of the Unramified Integral

The local integral is

$$I_v(s) = \int\limits_{N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)} f_v(s,g)\,\phi_v^{T,\nu}(g)\,\omega_v(g,1)\varphi_v(1_2)\,dg. \tag{35}$$

Let v be a finite place. As before $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & -\rho \end{bmatrix}$.

Definition 11.1. *The data for the integral $I_v(s)$ are unramified if all of the following hold:*

1. $K_v = G(\mathcal{O}_v)$*, and by the* $\mathfrak{p}$*-adic Iwasawa decomposition* $G(F_v) = P(F_v)K_v$
2. $\phi_v^{T,\nu} = \phi_v^{T,\nu^\circ}$ *is the normalized local spherical Bessel function, i.e. it is right* K_v *invariant*
3. $\varphi_v = \varphi_v^\circ = \mathbf{1}_{Mat_{2,2}(\mathcal{O}_v)}$ *is the normalized spherical function for the Weil representation*
4. $f_v(g,s) = f_v^\circ(g,s) = \delta_{P,v}^{\frac{s}{3}+\frac{1}{3}}(g)$ *where the modulus character is extended to the entire group* $G(F_v)$ *by* $\delta_{P,v}(pk) = \delta_{P,v}(p)$ *for* $p \in P(F_v)$ *and* $k \in K_v$
5. $\nu(H_T(\mathcal{O}_v)) = 1$
6. $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$

Assume that all the data are unramified for $I_v(s)$. This is the case for almost every v.

Let $P_1 = P \cap G_1$, and $M_1 = M \cap G_1 \cong GL_2$, and $K_{1,v} = K_v \cap G_1(F_v)$. With these assumptions the integrand of (35) is constant on double cosets $N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)/K_{1,v}$. By the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic Iwasawa decomposition, $G_1 = P_1(F_v)K_{1,v}$, and since

$$M_1(F_v) \cong N(F_v)\backslash P_1(F_v)$$

representatives may be found among representatives for $M_1(F_v)/\left(M_1(F_v) \cap K_{1,v}\right)$. By [7]

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(F) = \coprod_{m\geq 0} H(F_v)\begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v). \tag{36}$$

Let $m \geq 0$, and define

$$H^m(\mathcal{O}_v) := H(F_v) \cap \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)\begin{bmatrix} \varpi^{-m} & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $H^m(\mathcal{O}_v) \subseteq H(\mathcal{O}_v)$.

Recall that E is the discriminant field of V_T. Define $E_v := E \otimes_F F_v$. Let $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right)$ denote the Legendre symbol which equals -1, 0, or 1 according to whether v is inert, ramifies, or splits in E. By elementary number theory, $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = 0$ for only finitely many primes v. This case is not considered. Call the case when $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = -1$ as the *inert case*, and the case when $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = 1$ as the *split case*. In each case $E_v^\times \cong H(F_v)$. If $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = -1$, then E_v/F_v is an unramified quadratic extension. If $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = +1$, then $E_v \cong F_v \oplus F_v$. In this case there is an isomorphism

$$\iota : H(F_v) \to (F_v \oplus F_v)^\times.$$

Let $\Pi_1 := \iota^{-1}((\varpi, 1))$, and $\Pi_2 := \iota^{-1}((1, \varpi))$. Then $\det \Pi_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\Pi_1\Pi_2 = diag(\varpi, \varpi)$.

11.1. **The Inert Case.**

Proposition 11.2. *If* $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = -1$*, then a complete set of irredundant coset representatives for* $N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)/K_1$ *is given by*

$$m\left(h\begin{bmatrix} \varpi^{m+n} & \\ & \varpi^n \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

where $m \geq 0$*,* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*, and* h *runs over a set of representatives for* $H(\mathcal{O}_v)/H^m(\mathcal{O}_v)$*.*

Proof. This follows from the above decomposition (36) and the fact that $H(F_v) = Z(F_v)H(\mathcal{O}_v)$ where Z is the center of GL_2. □

Since

$$\omega_v(m(g),1)\varphi_v^\circ(1_2) = \chi_{T,v}\circ\det(g)\,|\det(g)|_v\,\varphi_v^\circ(g),$$

only $m(g)$ with $g\in \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)\cap \mathrm{Mat}_{2,2}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ are in the support of the

$$\omega_v(m(g),1)\varphi_v^\circ(1_2).$$

Hence, a complete set of irredundant representatives for the cosets in the support of $\omega_v(m(g),1)\varphi_v(1_2)$ is given by the representatives listed above with $n\geq 0$.

Proposition 11.3. *The various components of the integrand are computed as follows*

$$\delta_P^{\frac{s}{3}+\frac{1}{3}}\left(m\left(h\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{n+m} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)\right) = q_v^{-(2n+m)(s+1)} \tag{37}$$

$$\omega_v\left(m\left(h\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{n+m} & \\ & \varpi_v^n\end{bmatrix}\right),1\right)\varphi_v^\circ(1_2) = \chi_{T,v}(\varpi_v^{2n+m})q_v^{-(2n+m)} \tag{38}$$

$$vol\left(N(F_v)\backslash N(F_v)\,m\left(h\begin{bmatrix}\varpi^{n+m} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)K_{1,v}\right) = q_v^{6n+3m} \tag{39}$$

Proof. The proof of (37) is just an application of (4.1), and (38) follows from Section 7.1.

To prove (39) observe that there are measures dn on N and $d\bar{g}$ on $N\backslash G_1$ so that

$$\int\limits_{G_1} f(g)dg = \int\limits_{N\backslash G_1}\int\limits_{N} f(n\bar{g})\,dn\,d\bar{g}$$

and

$$\int\limits_{G_1} f(nmk)dg = \int\limits_{M_1}\int\limits_{K}\int\limits_{N}\delta_P^{-1}(m)\,f(nmk)\,dn\,dk\,dm.$$

Therefore, $\delta_{P,v}^{-1}\cdot d\dot{g}$ gives a right invariant measure on $N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)$ normalized so that vol $(N(F_v)\backslash N(F_v)K_{1,v}) = 1$.

Again, let h be a representative for an element of $H(\mathcal{O}_v)/H^m(\mathcal{O}_v)$, and let

$$A(h,m,n) = N(F_v)\backslash N(F_v)\,m\left(h\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{m+n} & \\ & \varpi_v^n\end{bmatrix}\right)K_{1,v}.$$

Then $\mathrm{vol}(A(h,m,n)) = \delta_{P,v}^{-1}\left(m\left(h\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{m+n} & \\ & \varpi_v^n\end{bmatrix}\right)\right) = q_v^{3m+6n}$ □

Note that the integrand does not depend on the coset of $H(\mathcal{O}_v)/H^m(\mathcal{O}_v)$.

Lemma 11.4 (Furusawa)**.** *The index* $[H(\mathcal{O}_v) : H^m(\mathcal{O}_v)] = q^m(1-\left(\frac{E}{v}\right)\frac{1}{q})$ *for* $m\geq 1$.

Then the local integral (35) is

$$\begin{aligned} I_v(s) = (1+\frac{1}{q})\sum_{m,n\geq 0}\phi_v^{T,\nu}\left(m\left(\begin{bmatrix}\varpi^{n+m} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)\right)\chi_T(\varpi_v^m)q_v^{2n(1-s)}q_v^{m(2-s)} \\ -\frac{1}{q}\sum_{n\geq 0}\phi_v^{T,\nu}\left(m\left(\begin{bmatrix}\varpi^{n} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)\right)q_v^{2n(1-s)}. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

11.2. The Split Case.

Proposition 11.5. *If* $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = +1$, *then a complete set of irredundant coset representatives for* $N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)/K_1$ *are given by*

$$m\left(h\,\Pi_i^k\begin{bmatrix}\varpi^{m+n} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

where $i = 1, 2$, $m, k \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, *and* h *are representatives for* $H(\mathcal{O}_v)/H^m(\mathcal{O}_v)$.

Proof. For every $(x, y) \in (F_v \oplus F_v)^\times$ there are unique integers k_1, and k_2 such that $(x, y) = (\varpi^{k_1}, \varpi^{k_2}) \cdot u$ where $u \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times \oplus \mathcal{O}_v^\times$. If $k_1 > k_2$, then let $i = 1$. Otherwise $i = 2$. Let $n = \min\{k_1, k_2\}$, and $k = k_i - n$. The result then follows from the decomposition (36). □

Proposition 11.6. *The various components of the integrand are computed as follows*

$$\delta_P^{\frac{s}{3}+\frac{1}{3}}\left(m\left(h\,\Pi_i^k\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{n+m} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)\right) = q_v^{-(2n+m+k)(s+1)}$$

$$\omega_v\left(m\left(h\,\Pi_i^k\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{n+m} & \\ & \varpi_v^n\end{bmatrix}\right), 1\right)\varphi_v^\circ(1_2) = \chi_{T,v}(\varpi_v^{2n+m+k})q_v^{-(2n+m)}$$

$$vol\left(N(F_v)\backslash N(F_v)\,m\left(h\,\Pi_i^k\begin{bmatrix}\varpi^{n+m} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)K_{1,v}\right) = q_v^{6n+3m+3k}$$

Proof. The only nontrivial part is volume computation which follows from an argument that is similar to the proof of [7, Lemma 3.5.3]. □

Then the local integral (35) is

$$\begin{aligned} I_v(s) = (1 - \frac{1}{q})\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{m,n\geq 0}\phi_v^{T,\nu}\left(m\left(\Pi_i^k\begin{bmatrix}\varpi^{n+m} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)\right)q_v^{(2n+k)(1-s)}q_v^{m(2-s)} \\ +\frac{1}{q}\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{n\geq 0}\phi_v^{T,\nu}\left(m\left(\Pi_i^k\begin{bmatrix}\varpi^{n} & \\ & \varpi^n\end{bmatrix}\right)\right)q_v^{(2n+k)(1-s)}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The expressions (40) and (41) are evaluated using Sugano's Formula.

12. Sugano's Formula

The results of this section were obtained by Sugano [32], but I follow the treatment found in Furusawa [7].

Define

$$h_v(\ell, m) = \begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{2m+\ell} & & & \\ & \varpi_v^{m+\ell} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \varpi_v^m\end{bmatrix}.$$

The local spherical Bessel function is supported on double cosets

$$\coprod_{\ell,m\geq 0} R(F_v)h_v(\ell, m)GSp_4(\mathcal{O}_v).$$

In [32] Sugano explicitly computes the following expression when $\phi^{T,\nu}$ is spherical:

$$C_v(x, y) = \sum_{\ell,m\geq 0}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(\ell, m))x^m y^\ell.$$

Since π_v is assumed to be a spherical representation, it is isomorphic to an unramified principal series representation. I describe this more precisely.

Let P_0 be the standard Borel subgroup of G with Levi component M_0.

$$M_0 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & a_2 & & \\ & & a_3 & \\ & & & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \middle| a_1 a_3 = a_2 a_4 \right\}.$$

There exists a character

$$\gamma_v : M_0(F_v) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$$

that is trivial on $M_0(\mathcal{O}_v)$ such that $\pi_v \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P_0(F_v)}^{G(F_v)}(\gamma_v)$. Then γ_v is determined by its values

$$\gamma_{1,v} = \gamma_v \begin{bmatrix} \varpi_v & & & \\ & \varpi_v & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{2,v} = \gamma_v \begin{bmatrix} \varpi_v & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \varpi_v \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\gamma_{3,v} = \gamma_v \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \varpi_v & \\ & & & \varpi_v \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{4,v} = \gamma_v \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \varpi_v & & \\ & & \varpi_v & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\gamma_{1,v}\gamma_{3,v} = \gamma_{2,v}\gamma_{4,v} = \omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v).$$

Let

$$\epsilon_v = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = -1, \\ \nu(\varpi_{E,v}) & \text{if } \left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = 0, \\ \nu(\varpi_{E,v}) + \nu(\varpi_{E,v}^\sigma) & \text{if } \left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 12.1. *(Sugano)*

$$C_v(x,y) = \frac{H_v(x,y)}{P_v(x)Q_v(y)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_v(x) &= (1 - \gamma_{1,v}\gamma_{2,v}q_v^{-2}x)(1 - \gamma_{1,v}\gamma_{4,v}q_v^{-2}x) \\ &\quad (1 - \gamma_{2,v}\gamma_{3,v}q_v^{-2}x)(1 - \gamma_{3,v}\gamma_{4,v}q_v^{-2}x), \\ Q_v(y) &= \prod_{i=1}^{4}(1 - \gamma_{i,v}q_v^{-3/2}y), \\ H_v(x,y) &= (1 + A_2A_3xy^2)\{M_1(x)(1 + A_2x) + A_2A_5A_1^{-1}\alpha x^2\} \\ &\quad - A_2xy\{\alpha M_1(x) - A_5M_2(x)\} - A_5P_v(x)y - A_2A_4P_v(x)y^2, \\ M_1(x) &= 1 - A_1^{-1}(A_1 + A_4)^{-1}(A_1A_5\alpha + A_4\beta - A_1A_5^2 - 2A_1A_2A_4)x \\ &\quad + A_1^{-1}A_2^2A_4x^2, \\ M_2(x) &= 1 + A_1^{-1}(A_1A_2 - \beta)x + A_1^{-1}A_2(A_1A_2 - \beta)x^2 + A_2^3x^3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= q_v^{-3/2}\sum_{i=1}^{4}\gamma_{i,v}, & \beta &= q_v^{-3}\sum_{1\le i<j\le 4}\gamma_{i,v}\gamma_{j,v}, \\ A_1 &= q_v^{-1}, & A_2 &= q_v^{-2}\nu(\varpi_v), \\ A_3 &= q_v^{-3}\nu(\varpi_v), & A_4 &= -q_v^{-2}\left(\frac{E}{v}\right), \\ A_5 &= q_v^{-2}\epsilon_v. \end{aligned}$$

The parameters $\gamma_{i,v}$ differ from the parameters of Section 8. One verifies that

$$\gamma_{1,v} = \chi_1\chi_2\chi_0(\varpi_v), \qquad \gamma_{2,v} = \chi_1\chi_0(\varpi_v),$$
$$\gamma_{3,v} = \chi_0(\varpi_v), \qquad \gamma_{4,v} = \chi_2\chi_0(\varpi_v),$$

and

$$\omega_{\pi,v} = \chi_1\chi_2\chi_0^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1,v}\gamma_{2,v} &= \chi_1^2\chi_2\chi_0^2(\varpi_v) = \chi_1\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v),\\
\gamma_{1,v}\gamma_{4,v} &= \chi_1\chi_2^2\chi_0^2(\varpi_v) = \chi_1\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v),\\
\gamma_{2,v}\gamma_{3,v} &= \chi_1\chi_0^2(\varpi_v) = \chi_2^{-1}\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v),\\
\gamma_{3,v}\gamma_{4,v} &= \chi_2\chi_0^2(\varpi_v) = \chi_1^{-1}\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v). \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 12.2. *Let P_1, $P_2 \in X\cdot\mathbb{C}[X]$, i.e. P_i have constant coefficient 0, then $C_v(P_1(q^{-s}), P_2(q^{-s}))$ converges absolutely for Re$(s) \gg 0$. Therefore, the terms of this series may be rearranged without affecting the sum.*

The proposition is a consequence of the following lemma which is the local version of Corollary 10.3.

Lemma 12.3. *For each place v of F, there is a constant $A_v > 0$ and a real number α independent of v so that*

$$|\phi_v^{T,\nu}(g_v)| \le A_v|\lambda_G(g_v)|_v^{\alpha}.$$

Proof. Again, I follow [19]. Pick a place $w|\infty$. Then for $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ one may write $g = z_w g_1$ where $g_1 \in G(\mathbb{A})^1$, and z_w is in the center of $G(F_w)$. Then by Corollary 10.3, I estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^{T,\nu}(g)| =&|\omega_{\pi,w}(z_w)|_w|\phi^{T,\nu}(g_1)|_{\mathbb{A}}\\
=&A\cdot|z_w|_w^{\beta}\\
=&A\cdot|\lambda_G(g)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\beta/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $g_0 \in G(\mathbb{A})$ so that $\pi^{T,\nu} \neq 0$. Then for each place v define

$$A_v := A \times \prod_{v'\neq v} \frac{|\lambda_G(g_{0,v'})|_{v'}^{\beta/2}}{|\phi^{T,\nu}(g_{0,v'})|_{\mathbb{A}}},$$

and let $\alpha = \beta/2$. □

If $Re(s)$ is sufficiently large (to account for A_v and the coefficients of P_i), then comparing the series $C_v(P_1(q^{-s}), P_2(q^{-s}))$ to a doubly geometric series completes the proof of the proposition.

13. Computing the Local Integral

13.1. The Inert Case.

It is necessary to express (40) as a linear combination of terms of the form $C_v(x, y)$ where x and y are monomials in q_v^{-s}. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
m\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{m+n} & \\ & \varpi_v^{n}\end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{m+n} &&& \\ & \varpi_v^{n} && \\ && \varpi_v^{-n-m} & \\ &&& \varpi_v^{-n}\end{bmatrix}\\
&= z(\varpi_v^{-n-m})h_v(2n, m),
\end{aligned}$$

then (40) gives

$$\begin{aligned}I_v(s) = (1+\frac{1}{q}) &\sum_{m,n\geq 0} \omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-m-n}\,\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(m,2n))\chi_T(\varpi_v)^m q_v^{2n(1-s)} q_v^{m(2-s)}\\ -\frac{1}{q}&\sum_{n\geq 0}\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-n}\,\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(0,2n))q_v^{2n(1-s)}\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 13.1. *When* $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = -1$ *the unramified local integral is*

$$\begin{aligned}I_v(s) =&(1+\frac{1}{q_v})\sum_{\ell,m\geq 0}(-\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{2-s})^m(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1/2}q_v^{1-s})^{2\ell}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(2\ell,m))\\ &-\frac{1}{q_v}\sum_{\ell\geq 0}(-\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1/2}q_v^{1-s})^{2\ell}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(2\ell,0))\\ =&(1+\frac{1}{q_v})\Big[\frac{1}{2}C_v\left(-\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{2-s},\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1/2}q_v^{1-s}\right)\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}C_v\left(-\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{2-s},-\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1/2}q_v^{1-s}\right)\Big]\\ &-\frac{1}{q_v}\Big[\frac{1}{2}C_v\left(0,\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1/2}q_v^{1-s}\right)+\frac{1}{2}C_v\left(0,-\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1/2}q_v^{1-s}\right)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

This expression was evaluated using Sugano's formula and Mathematica.

Proposition 13.2. *When* $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = -1$ *the unramified local integral is*

$$\begin{aligned}I_v(s) = &(1-q_v^{-s})(1-q_v^{-s-1})\\ &(1+\gamma_1\gamma_2\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{-s})^{-1}(1+\gamma_1\gamma_4\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{-s})^{-1}\\ &(1+\gamma_2\gamma_3\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{-s})^{-1}(1+\gamma_3\gamma_4\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{-s})^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

Correcting by the normalizing factor (4) gives

Proposition 13.3. *When* $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = -1$, *the normalized unramified local integral is*

$$\zeta_v(s+1)\zeta_v(2s)I_v(s) = L(s,\pi_v\otimes\chi_{T,v})$$

Proof. This follows from comparing (42) and (43). □

13.2. **The Split Case.** Since $\chi_{T,v}(\varpi_v) = \left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = 1$, $\chi_{T,v}$ does not appear in this part of the calculation. Since

$$\begin{aligned}m\left(\Pi_i^k\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{m+n} & \\ & \varpi_v^n\end{bmatrix}\right) &= b(\Pi_i^k)\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{m+n} &&&\\ & \varpi_v^n &&\\ && \varpi_v^{-n-m-k} &\\ &&& \varpi_v^{-n-k}\end{bmatrix}\\ &= b(\Pi_i^k)z(\varpi^{-m-n-k})\begin{bmatrix}\varpi_v^{2m+2n+k} &&&\\ & \varpi_v^{m+2n+k} &&\\ && 1 &\\ &&& \varpi_v^{m}\end{bmatrix}\\ &= b(\Pi_i^k)z(\varpi_v^{-m-n-k})h_v(2n+k,m),\end{aligned}$$

so (41) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}I_v(s) = (1-\frac{1}{q})&\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{m,n,k\geq 0}\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-m-n-k}\,\nu(\Pi_i)^k\,\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(m,2n+k))\,q_v^{(2n+k)(1-s)}q_v^{m(2-s)}\\ +\frac{1}{q}&\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{n,k\geq 0}\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-n-k}\,\nu(\Pi_i)^k\,\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(0,2n+k))\,q_v^{(2n+k)(1-s)}.\end{aligned}\tag{44}$$

First, suppose $\nu(\Pi_1) \neq \nu(\Pi_2)$ which is equivalent to $\nu(\Pi_i)^2 \neq \omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)$.

Proposition 13.4.

$$\frac{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)}{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)^2 - 1} + \frac{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)}{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)^2 - 1} = 0 \tag{45}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)^2}{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)^2 - 1} + \frac{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)^2}{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)^2 - 1} = 1. \tag{46}$$

Proof. Both identities follow from the fact that $\nu(\Pi_1) \cdot \nu(\Pi_2) = \omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)$. □

Let

$$\eta_1 := \frac{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)^2}{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)^2 - 1} \qquad \eta_2 := \frac{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)^2}{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)^2 - 1}.$$

$$\theta_1 := \frac{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)}{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)^2 - 1} \qquad \theta_2 := \frac{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)}{\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)^2 - 1}.$$

Then combining terms with $2n + k = \ell$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
I_v(s) = \sum_{i=1,2} &(1 - \frac{1}{q})\eta_i \sum_{\ell,m\geq 0} (\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_i)q^{1-s})^{\ell}(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}q^{2-s})^m \phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(2\ell,m)) \\
&- (1 - \frac{1}{q})(\eta_i - 1) \sum_{\ell,m\geq 0} (\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1/2}q^{1-s})^{2\ell}(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}q^{2-s})^m \phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(2\ell,m)) \\
&- (1 - \frac{1}{q})\theta_i \sum_{\ell,m\geq 0} (\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1/2}q^{1-s})^{2\ell+1}(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}q^{2-s})^m \phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(2\ell+1,m)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{q}\eta_i \sum_{\ell\geq 0}(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_i)q^{1-s})^{\ell}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(\ell,0)) \\
&- \frac{1}{q}(\eta_i - 1)\sum_{\ell\geq 0}(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1/2}q^{1-s})^{2\ell}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(2\ell,0)) \\
&- \frac{1}{q}\theta_i \sum_{\ell\geq 0}(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)^{-1/2}q^{1-s})^{2\ell+1}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(2\ell+1,0)).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Applying Proposition 13.4 to (47) gives

Proposition 13.5. *When* $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = +1$ *and* $\nu(\Pi_1) \neq \nu(\Pi_2)$ *the unramified local integral is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
I_v(s) = &\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_v}\right)\eta_1 \cdot C_v(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{2-s}, \omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)q_v^{1-s}) \\
&+ \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_v}\right)\eta_2 \cdot C_v(\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{2-s}, \omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)q_v^{1-s}) \\
&- \frac{1}{q_v}\eta_1 \cdot C_v(0, \omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_1)q_v^{1-s}) \\
&- \frac{1}{q_v}\eta_2 \cdot C_v(0, \omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}\nu(\Pi_2)q_v^{1-s}).
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Proposition 13.6. *When* $\left(\frac{E}{v}\right) = +1$ *the unramified local integral is*

$$\begin{aligned}
I_v(s) = &(1 + q_v^{-s})(1 - q_v^{-s-1}) \\
&(1 - \gamma_1\gamma_4\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{-s})^{-1}(1 - \gamma_1\gamma_2\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{-s})^{-1} \\
&(1 - \gamma_2\gamma_3\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{-s})^{-1}(1 - \gamma_3\gamma_4\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi_v)^{-1}q_v^{-s})^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Proof. When $\nu(\Pi_1) \neq \nu(\Pi_2)$ this follows from applying Sugano's formula to (48), and the computation was verified with Mathematica. To extend the identity to all values of $\nu(\Pi_1)$ and $\nu(\Pi_2)$ observe that the right hand side of (44) is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{m,n,k\geq 0} A(m)\phi_v^{T,\nu}(h_v(m,n+2k))X^m Y^n Z^k,$$

with $X = \omega_{\pi,v}^{-1}(\varpi)q_v^{2-s}$, $Y = \omega_{\pi,v}^{-1}(\varpi)q_v^{2-2s}$, $Z = \omega_{\pi,v}^{-1}(\varpi)\nu(\Pi_i)q_v^{1-s}$, $A(0) = 1$, and $A(m) = 1 - \frac{1}{q}$ otherwise. This is an absolutely convergent power series for $Re(s) \gg 0$, and convergence is uniform as $\nu(\Pi_1)$ and $\nu(\Pi_2)$ vary in a compact set. Furthermore, for $\nu(\Pi_1) \neq \nu(\Pi_2)$ the sum is equal to a rational function in q^{-s} that remains continuous for all values of $\nu(\Pi_1)$ and $\nu(\Pi_2)$ such that $\omega_{\pi,v}(\varpi)\nu(\Pi_1)\nu(\Pi_2) = 1$. Then by uniform convergence the equality holds for all such values of $\nu(\Pi_1)$ and $\nu(\Pi_2)$. ☐

Proposition 13.7. *When $\left(\frac{K}{v}\right) = +1$, the normalized unramified local integral is*

$$\zeta_v(s+1)\zeta_v(2s)I_v(s) = L(s, \pi_v \otimes \chi_{T,v}).$$

Proof. This follows from comparing (42) and (49) ☐

14. Ramified Integrals at Finite Places

Consider the local integral at a finite place v where some of the data may be ramified.

$$I_v(s) = \int\limits_{N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)} f_v(s,g)\, \phi_v^{T,\nu}(g)\, \omega_v(g,1)\varphi_v(1_2)\, dg.$$

Let $K_{P,v} = P(F_v) \cap K_v$. Let $K_{P_1,v} = P_1(F_v) \cap K_v = K_1 \cap K_{P,v}$.

Proposition 14.1. *Let $h : K_{P,v}\backslash K_v \to \mathbb{C}$ be a locally constant (i.e. smooth) function. Then there exists $f_v(s,k) \in Ind(s)$ such that for all $k \in K_v$, $f(s,k) = h(k)$.*

Proof. This proposition follows from [6, Proposition 3.1.1]. ☐

Proposition 14.2. *There exists a K_v-finite section $f_v(s,g) \in Ind(s)$, and a K_v-finite Schwartz-Bruhat function $\varphi_v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}(F_v))$ such that $I_v(s) \equiv 1$.*

Proof. This argument comes directly from [23].

Suppose that K_0 is an open compact subgroup of $G_1(F_v)$ so that $\phi_v^{T,\nu}$ is right K_0 invariant. Consider the isomorphism $p : M_1 \to \mathrm{GL}_2$, $m(a) \mapsto a$. Let K_ϕ be an open compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ such that $K_\phi \subseteq p(M_1(F_v) \cap K_0) \cap \ker \chi_T \circ \det$. Let $\varphi_v = 1_{K_\phi}$. Since φ_v is a smooth function, there exists an open compact subgroup $K' \subseteq G_1(F_v)$ such that $\omega_v(k,1)\varphi_v = \varphi_v$. Let $\mathcal{K} = K_{P_1,v}\backslash K_{P_1,v} \cdot (K' \cap K_0)$. By Proposition 14.1 there is $f_v(s,-) \in \mathrm{Ind}(s)$ so that $f_v(s,-)|_{K_1} = 1_{K_{P_1,v}\cdot(K'\cap K_0)}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I_v(s) &= \int\limits_{N(F_v)\backslash G_1(F_v)} f_v(s,g)\,\phi_v^{T,\nu}(g)\,\omega_v(g,1)\varphi_v(1_2)\,dg \\
&= \int\limits_{K_{P_1,v}\backslash K_{1,v}} \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)} \delta_P(m(a))^{-1} f_v(s,m(a)k)\phi_v^{T,\nu}(m(a)k) \\
&\qquad \omega_v(m(a)k,1)\varphi_v(1_2)\,da\,dk \\
&= \int\limits_{K_{P_1,v}\backslash K_{1,v}} f_v(s,k) \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)} |\det(a)|_v^{s-2}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(m(a)k)\,\omega_v(m(a)k,1)\varphi_v(1_2)\,da\,dk \\
&= \int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)} |\det(a)|_v^{s-2}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(m(a)k)\,\omega_v(m(a)k,1)\varphi_v(1_2)\,da\,dk \\
&= \int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)} |\det(a)|_v^{s-1}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(m(a)k)\,\chi_T(\det(a))\,1_{K_\phi}(a)\,da\,dk \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

For $a \in K_\phi$, $|\det(a)|_v = 1$, and $\phi_v^{T,\nu}(m(a)) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)} |\det(a)|_v^{s-1}\phi_v^{T,\nu}(m(a)k)\,\chi_T(\det(a))\,1_{K_\phi}(a)\,da\,dk \\
&= \int\limits_{K_\phi(K'\cap K_0)} \phi_v^{T,\nu}(k)\,dk.
\end{aligned}$$

After normalizing measures and $\phi_v^{T,\nu}$, $I_v(s) \equiv 1$. □

15. Ramified Integrals at Infinite Places

Consider the integral at the infinite places from Proposition 9.4.

$$I_\infty(s) = \int\limits_{N(\mathbb{A}_\infty)\backslash G_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)} f(s,g)\phi^{T,\nu}(g)\omega(g,1)\varphi(1_2)\,dg.$$

Proposition 15.1. *For every complex number s_0 there is a choice of of data $f(s,g) \in Ind(s)$, and $\varphi = \varphi_\infty \otimes \varphi_{fin} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}))$ such that I_∞ converges to a holomorphic function at s_0, and $I_\infty(s_0) \neq 0$.*

The proof of this proposition is essentially given in [23, §2], but is reproduced here with necessary changes.

Proof. By the Iwasawa decomposition, $G_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty) = P_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)K_\infty$, where P_1 has Levi factor $M_1 \cong \mathrm{GL}_2$. The integral $I_\infty(s)$ may be broken up as a $M_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$ integral and a K_∞ integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\infty(s) &= \int\limits_{K_\infty} \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_\infty)} \delta_P(m(a))^{-1} f(s,m(a)k)\phi^{T,\nu}(m(a)k)\omega(m(a)k,1)\varphi(1_2)\,da\,dk \\
&= \int\limits_{K_\infty} f(k,s) \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_\infty)} |\det(a)|_\infty^{s-2}\phi^{T,\nu}(m(a)k)\omega(m(a)k,1)\varphi(1_2)\,da\,dk.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $|\,|_\infty$ denotes the valuation on $\mathbb{A}_\infty$ defined by $|x|_\infty = \prod\limits_v |x_v|_v$, and $\det(a) \in \mathbb{A}_\infty$ with v coordinate equal to $\det(a_v)$. Since $f(k,s)$ is a standard section, it is independent of s when restricted to K_∞. Write $f(k,s) = f(k)$ for $k \in K_\infty$. The integral

$$A(k,s) := \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_\infty)} |\det(a)|^{s-2}\phi^{T,\nu}(m(a)k)\,\omega(m(a)k,1)\varphi_\infty(1_2)\,da \tag{51}$$

gives a function on $(M_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty) \cap K_\infty)\backslash K_\infty = (P_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty) \cap K_\infty)\backslash K_\infty$. The function φ was chosen to be K-finite, in particular it is K_∞-finite. Suppose that $\varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v$, and $\varphi_\infty = \otimes_{v|\infty}\varphi_v$. Since the integrand of (51) is a smooth function of k, in the region of absolute convergence, $A(-,s)$ is smooth function.

There is some choice of data so that $A(1,s_0) \neq 0$. The function φ_∞ is K_∞-finite. Let $\varphi_\infty^\circ = \bigotimes_{v|\infty}\phi_v^\circ(X_v)$. According to (7.1) it is of the form

$$\varphi_\infty(X) = p(X)\varphi_\infty^\circ(X)$$

where $X = \bigotimes_{v|\infty} X_v \in \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$ and $p(X)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$. In particular, $|\det(X)|_\infty$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$. Pick $p(X)$ to be of the form

$$p(X) = q(X)\cdot|\det(X)|_\infty^n$$

where $q(X)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 10.3 $\phi^{T,\nu}$ is bounded, so in particular it is bounded on $M_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$. Therefore,

$$A(1,s_0) = \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_\infty)} |\det(a)|_\infty^{s_0-1+n}\, q(a)\phi^{T,\nu}(m(a))\,da. \tag{52}$$

For $Re(s_0-1+n) >> 0$ the integral converges absolutely. Indeed, φ_∞° decays exponentially as the entries of a become large while the rest of the integrand has polynomial growth at infinity. As the entries of a become small, so does $|\det(a)|^{s_0-1+n}$. The other terms in the integrand are bounded.

By assumption on ϕ, there is some $g \in G_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$ so that $\phi^{T,\nu}(g) \neq 0$. By the Iwasawa decomposition I can write $g_\infty = na'k$, where $n \in N(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$, $a' \in M_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$, and $k \in K_{1,\infty}$. Since $K_{1,\infty}$ acts on the space of π, replace ϕ with $\pi(k)\phi$ because the action of π is compatible with taking Bessel coefficients. Assume $k = 1$. Since $\phi^{T,\nu}(na') = \psi_T(n)\cdot\phi^{T,\nu}(a')$, and $\psi_T(n) \neq 0$, then $\phi(a') \neq 0$. Since polynomials are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}_\infty))$, then there is some choice of polynomial q so that $A(1,s_0) \neq 0$.

Therefore, $A(1,s)$ is a nonzero holomorphic function in a neighborhood of s_0, and $A(k,s)$ is a K-finite function of k on $(M_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)\cap K_\infty)\backslash K_\infty$. There is a bijection between $\bigotimes\limits_{v|\infty} Ind_{P(F_v)}^{G(F_v)}$ and K_v finite functions in $L^2((M_1(\mathbb{A}_\infty \cap K_\infty)\backslash K_\infty)$ given by restricting f to K_v. Therefore, there is a choice of K-finite standard section $f(k)$ so that

$$\int\limits_{K_\infty} f(k,s_0)\,A(k,s_0)\,dk \neq 0. \qquad \square$$

16. Proof of Theorem 1

This section summarizes the results of previous sections to prove Theorem 1 which is restated here.

Theorem 1. *Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$, and $\phi = \otimes_v\phi_v \in V_\pi$ be a decomposable automorphic form. Let T and ν be such that $\phi^{T,\nu} \neq 0$. There exists a choice of section $f(s,-) \in Ind_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(\delta_P^{1/3(s-1/2)})$, and some $\varphi = \otimes_v\varphi_v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A}))$ such that the normalized integral*

$$I^*(s; f,\phi,T,\nu,\varphi) = d(s)\cdot L^S(s,\pi\otimes\chi_T)$$

where S is a finite set of bad places including all the archimedean places. Furthermore, for any complex number s_0, the data may be chosen so that $d(s)$ is holomorphic at s_0, and $d(s_0) \neq 0$.

Proof. There is a finite set of places S including all the archimedean places, such that for $v \notin S$, the conditions in Definition 11.1 are satisfied. Consider the normalized Eisenstein series $E^*(s, f, g) = \zeta^S(s+1)\,\zeta^S(2s)E(s, f, g)$ that was described in (4). Define $I^*(s; f, \phi, T, \nu, \varphi)$ to be the global integral defined in (9) except that $E(s, f, g)$ is replaced by $E^*(s, f, g)$.

By Proposition 9.4 the integral factors as

$$I^*(s; f, \phi, T, \nu, \varphi) = I_\infty(s) \times \prod_{\substack{v<\infty \\ v\in S}} I_v(s) \times \prod_{v\notin S} I_v^*(s).$$

Here, $I_v^*(s) = \zeta_v(s+1)\zeta_v(2s)I_v(s)$. According to Proposition 13.3 and Proposition 13.7 for $v \notin S$,

$$I_v^*(s) = L(s, \pi_v \otimes \chi_{T,v}).$$

By Proposition 14.2 for every finite place $v \in S$, there is a choice of local section $f_v(s, -)$ and local Schwartz-Bruhat function φ_v so that

$$I_v(s) \equiv 1.$$

By Proposition 15.1 there is a choice of data at the infinite places, $f_\infty(s, -)$, and φ_∞, so that $I_\infty(s)$ is holomorphic at s_0, and $I_\infty(s_0) \neq 0$.

Choose $f(s, -) = \otimes_v f_v(s, -)$ so that $f_v(s, -)$ is the choice specified above for $v \in S$, and the local spherical section otherwise. Similarly, choose $\varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v$ so that φ_v is the choice specified for $v \in S$, and the local spherical Schwartz-Bruhat function otherwise. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. N. Andrianov and V. L. Kalinin, *Analytic properties of standard zeta-functions of Siegel modular forms*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **106(148)** (1978), no. 3, 323–339, 495.

[2] Mahdi Asgari and Ralf Schmidt, *Siegel modular forms and representations*, Manuscripta Math. **104** (2001), no. 2, 173–200.

[3] Siegfried Böcherer, *Über die Fourier-Jacobi-Entwicklung Siegelscher Eisensteinreihen. II*, Math. Z. **189** (1985), no. 1, 81–110 (German).

[4] A. Borel, *Automorphic L-functions*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, 1979, pp. 27–61.

[5] Armand Borel and Nolan R. Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 94, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[6] W. Casselman, *Intoduction to the theory of admissable representations of p-adic reductive groups* (unpublished book). http://www.math.ubc.ca/ cass/research/pdf/p-adic-book.pdf.

[7] Masaaki Furusawa, *On L-functions for* GSp(4) × GL(2) *and their special values*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **438** (1993), 187–218.

[8] Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino, *On endoscopy and the refined gross-prasad conjecture for (so_5,so_4)* (preprint).

[9] Stephen Gelbart, Ilya Piatetski-Shapiro, and Stephen Rallis, *Explicit constructions of automorphic L-functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1254, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[10] Michael Harris, *Special values of zeta functions attached to Siegel modular forms*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **14** (1981), no. 1, 77–120.

[11] Michael Harris and Stephen S. Kudla, *Arithmetic automorphic forms for the nonholomorphic discrete series of* GSp(2), Duke Math. J. **66** (1992), no. 1, 59–121.

[12] ———, *On a conjecture of Jacquet*, Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory, 2004, pp. 355–371.

[13] Helmut Hasse, *History of class field theory*, Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965), 1967, pp. 266–279.

[14] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis, *On the Weil-Siegel formula*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **387** (1988), 1–68.

[15] ———, *A regularized Siegel-Weil formula: the first term identity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **140** (1994), no. 1, 1–80.

[16] Stephen S. Kudla, Stephen Rallis, and David Soudry, *On the degree* 5 *L-function for* Sp(2), Invent. Math. **107** (1992), no. 3, 483–541.

[17] Robert P. Langlands, *Euler products*, Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn., 1971. A James K. Whittemore Lecture in Mathematics given at Yale University, 1967, Yale Mathematical Monographs, 1.

[18] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 113, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Une paraphrase de l'Écriture [A paraphrase of Scripture].

[19] Tomonori Moriyama, *Entireness of the spinor L-functions for certain generic cusp forms on* GSp(2), Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), no. 4, 899–920.

[20] M. E. Novodvorsky and I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Generalized Bessel models for the symplectic group of rank* 2, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **90(132)** (1973), 246–256, 326.

[21] Alexey A. Panchishkin, *Non-Archimedean L-functions of Siegel and Hilbert modular forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1471, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[22] I. Piatetski-Shapiro and S. Rallis, ϵ *factor of representations of classical groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **83** (1986), no. 13, 4589–4593.

[23] ———, *A new way to get Euler products*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **392** (1988), 110–124.

[24] Ameya Pitale and Ralf Schmidt, *Bessel models for lowest weight representations of* $\mathrm{GSp}(4,\mathbb{R})$, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **7** (2009), 1159–1212.

[25] Dipendra Prasad and Ramin Takloo-Bighash, *Bessel models for* GSp_4, J. Reine Angew. Math. **655** (2011), 189–243.

[26] Stephen Rallis, *Langlands' functoriality and the Weil representation*, Amer. J. Math. **104** (1982), no. 3, 469–515.

[27] Brooks Roberts, *Global L-packets for* GSp(2) *and theta lifts*, Doc. Math. **6** (2001), 247–314 (electronic).

[28] Abhishek Saha, *L-functions for holomorphic forms on* $\mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ *and their special values*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **10** (2009), 1773–1837.

[29] J.-P. Serre, *A course in arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973. Translated from the French, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7.

[30] Goro Shimura, *Arithmeticity in the theory of automorphic forms*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 82, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. MR1780262 (2001k:11086)

[31] David Soudry, *The CAP representations of* $\mathrm{GSp}(4,\mathbb{A})$, J. Reine Angew. Math. **383** (1988), 87–108.

[32] Takashi Sugano, *On holomorphic cusp forms on quaternion unitary groups of degree* 2, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **31** (1985), no. 3, 521–568.

[33] Jacob Sturm, *The critical values of zeta functions associated to the symplectic group*, Duke Math. J. **48** (1981), no. 2, 327–350. MR620253 (83c:10035)

[34] André Weil, *Sur la formule de Siegel dans la théorie des groupes classiques*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 1–87.

Departement of Mathematics, 14 MacLean Hall, Iowa City, Iowa 52242-1419
E-mail address: `daniel-file@uiowa.edu`